

not. However, there is the following norm inequality for prediction errors.

10.28 Theorem If Y is \mathcal{F} -measurable and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|Y - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_2)\|_p \leq 2\|Y - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_1)\|_p, \quad p \geq 1. \quad (10.63)$$

Proof Let $\eta = Y - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_1)$. Then by **10.26(ii)**,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta - E(\eta|\mathcal{G}_2) &= Y - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_1) - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_2) + E(E(Y|\mathcal{G}_1)|\mathcal{G}_2) \\ &= Y - E(Y|\mathcal{G}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (10.64)$$

The theorem now follows, since

$$\|\eta - E(\eta|\mathcal{G}_2)\|_p \leq \|\eta\|_p + \|E(\eta|\mathcal{G}_2)\|_p \leq 2\|\eta\|_p \quad (10.65)$$

by, respectively, the Minkowski and conditional Jensen inequalities, and the LIE. ■

10.6 Conditional Distributions

The conditional probability of an event $A \in \mathcal{F}$ can evidently be defined as $P(A|\mathcal{G}) = E(1_A|\mathcal{G})$, where $1_A(\omega)$ is the indicator function of A . But is it therefore meaningful to speak of a conditional distribution on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , which assigns probabilities $P(A|\mathcal{G})$ to each $A \in \mathcal{F}$? There are two ways to approach this question.

First, we can observe straightforwardly that conditional probabilities satisfy the axioms of probability except on sets of probability 0 and, in this sense, satisfactorily mimic the properties of true probabilities, just as was found for the expectations. Thus, we have the following.

10.29 Theorem

- (i) $P(A|\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ a.s., all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) $P(\Omega|\mathcal{G}) = 1$ a.s.
- (iii) For a countable collection of disjoint sets $A_j \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$P\left(\bigcup_j A_j|\mathcal{G}\right) = \sum_j P(A_j|\mathcal{G}) \text{ a.s.} \quad (10.66)$$

Proof To prove (i), suppose $\exists G \in \mathcal{G}$ with $P(G) > 0$, and $P(A|\mathcal{G})(\omega) < 0$ for all $\omega \in G$. Then, by (10.18),

$$\int_{G \cap A} dP = \int_G P(A|\mathcal{G}) dP < 0, \quad (10.67)$$

which is a contradiction, since the left-hand member is a probability. To prove (ii), note that $P(\Omega|\mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable and let $G^+ \in \mathcal{G}$ denote the set of ω such that $P(\Omega|\mathcal{G})(\omega) > 1$. Suppose $P(G^+) > 0$. Then since $G^+ \cap \Omega = G^+$,

$$P(G^+) = \int_{G^+} dP < \int_{G^+} P(\Omega|\mathcal{G}) dP = \int_{G^+ \cap \Omega} dP = P(G^+), \quad (10.68)$$